



Corporate Supervision Department
Company Law Division

Before Amina Aziz – Director (CSD)

In the matter of

Bela Automotive Limited

Number and date of SCN: CSD/ARN/91/2015-2442-2448, dated January 13, 2016

ORDER

UNDER SUB-SECTION (3) OF SECTION 245 READ WITH SECTION 476 OF THE COMPANIES ORDINANCE, 1984

This order shall dispose of the proceedings initiated against the following directors including the chief executive officer (together referred to as “respondents”) of **Bela Automotive Limited** (the “Company”):

- | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|---|---------------------|
| 1 | Mr. Abdul Mateen Allahwala | 5 | Mrs. Nelofer Mateen |
| 2 | Mr. Imran Mateen | 6 | Mrs. Farnaz Anwar |
| 3 | Mr. Anwar Iqbal | 7 | Mrs. Moniza Imran |
| 4 | Mr. Omer Mateen Allahwala | | |

The proceedings against the respondents were initiated through show cause notice (the “SCN”) dated January 13, 2016, issued under the provisions of sub-section (3) of section 245 read with section 476 of the Companies Ordinance, 1984 (the “Ordinance”).

2. The brief facts of the case are that as per record, the Company failed to file the interim financial statements (“Quarterly Accounts”) for the following periods with the Commission, as per requirements of section 245 of the Ordinance:

Quarter Ended	Due On	Remarks
30-Sep-14	31-Oct-14	Not Filed
31-Dec-14	28-Feb-15	Not Filed
31-Mar-15	30-Apr-15	Not Filed
30-Sep-15	31-Oct-15	Not Filed

Consequently, the SCN was issued to the respondents whereof they were called upon to show cause in writing as to why penal action may not be taken against them under sub-section (3) of section 245 of the Ordinance for delay in filing the aforesaid Quarterly Accounts.



SECURITIES & EXCHANGE COMMISSION OF PAKISTAN

Corporate Supervision Department
Company Law Division

Continuation Sheet - 1 -

3. The respondents were required to submit a written reply within fourteen days. They were also required to inform in writing within a week in case the respondents wished to be heard in person or through an authorized representative. Since the respondents failed to submit any response to the SCN, the case was fixed for hearing on March 11, 2016 and March 29, 2016 through hearing notices dated February 25, 2016 and March 14, 2016, but the respondents neither attended the hearing nor submitted any response in writing. By way of providing a final opportunity to the respondents, a hearing was fixed on April 7, 2016. The respondents were advised to make arrangements to attend the hearing in person or through authorized representative. It was explicitly mentioned in the hearing notice that in case the respondents fail to appear in person or through a representative, the Commission will proceed to pass an ex parte order on the basis of material available on record. The respondents again failed to make appearance on the appointed date and time.

4. Before proceeding further, it is important to mention that in terms of sub-section (1) of section 245 of the Ordinance all listed companies are, inter alia, required to file their quarterly accounts with the Commission within stipulated time.

Sub-section (3) of section 245 of the Ordinance provides as under:

"If a company fails to comply with any of the requirements of this section, every director, including chief executive and chief accountant of the company who has knowingly by his act or omission been the cause of such default shall be liable to a fine of not exceeding one hundred thousand rupees and to a further fine of one thousand rupees for every day during which the default continues."

In terms of the Commission's notification SRO 1003 (I)/2015 dated October 15, 2015, the powers to adjudicate cases under section 245 of the Ordinance have been delegated to the Director (Corporate Supervision Department).

5. I have analyzed the facts of the case, relevant provisions of the Ordinance, and submissions made by the respondents. The aforesaid provisions of the law are clear and explicit. A listed company is required to file its quarterly accounts within the stipulated time that is one month from the close of first and third quarters and two months from the close of second quarter. Initially listed companies were only required to circulate annual accounts and the shareholders did not have information about the affairs of companies during the year. The requirement to circulate interim accounts was introduced so that the shareholders could have timely access to



SECURITIES & EXCHANGE COMMISSION OF PAKISTAN

Corporate Supervision Department
Company Law Division

Continuation Sheet - 2 -

information about the affairs of companies. Keeping in view the fact that timing of interim financial statements is of essence the disclosure and audit requirements of these accounts have been kept to a bare minimum. Interim financial statements prepared properly and in a timely manner not only provide to its users a reliable source of information regarding a company's financial position and performance but these also show the results of management's stewardship of resources entrusted to it. In order to ensure transparency, all the companies must meticulously follow the legal requirement for preparing and circulation of interim accounts. In addition to their responsibility of overseeing and managing affairs of the Company, directors also have fiduciary duties towards the Company and its shareholders. They are, therefore, liable to a higher level of accountability which requires them to be vigilant and perform their duties with care and prudence. It is directors' responsibility to oversee the functioning of the company, to keep it appropriately staffed and organized to ensure due compliance of law. In terms of the Ordinance the directors are primarily responsible for approval of accounts. I have noted that the respondents despite being given ample opportunity have neither submitted any written response nor have they appeared for hearing. Therefore, I am constrained to issue an ex parte order against them. It is also pertinent to mention that the Company failed to hold its overdue AGMs for the year ended June 30, 2013, to June 30, 2015 and prepare and file the respective annual and interim accounts.

6. In view of the above stated facts, I have concluded that the provisions of the law have been violated and the respondents are liable to fines in terms of section 245 of the Ordinance, as they failed to prepare and file the respective Quarterly Accounts of the Company as per requirements of the law. Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 158 of the Ordinance, I hereby impose a fine of Rs210,000/- (Rupees two hundred ten thousand only) on the respondents, as per following details:

(Amounts in Rs)

Name of Respondents	Total
1. Mr. Abdul Mateen Allahwala	30,000
2. Mr. Imran Mateen	30,000
3. Mr. Anwar Iqbal	30,000
4. Mr. Omer Mateen Allahwala	30,000
5. Mrs. Nelofer Mateen	30,000
6. Mrs. Farnaz Anwar	30,000
7. Mrs. Moniza Imran	30,000
TOTAL	210,000



SECURITIES & EXCHANGE COMMISSION OF PAKISTAN

Corporate Supervision Department
Company Law Division

Continuation Sheet - 3 -

The aforesaid fines must be deposited in the designated bank account maintained with MCB Bank Limited in the name of the "Securities and Exchange Commission of Pakistan" within thirty days from the receipt of this order and receipted bank vouchers must be furnished to the Commission. In case of failure of the respondents to deposit of the fines, proceedings for recovery of the fines as arrears of land revenue will be initiated. It may also be noted that the aforesaid fines are imposed on the respondents in their personal capacities; therefore, they are required to pay the said amounts from personal resources.

Amina Aziz
Director (CSD)

Announced:
April 14, 2016
Islamabad